







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.hspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,097	1	2/12/2001	Saied Ghamaty		8977
5	7590	03/31/2003			
Ross Patent I	Law Off	ice	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 2138			SOWARD, IDA M		
Del Mar, CA 92014				oo wata, ibrini	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2822	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	(A)
	10/021,097	GHAMATY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ida M Soward	2822
	unication appears on the cover sheet	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Period for Reply		,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and the company received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ammunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ply will, by statute, cause the application to become a safter the mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>07 January 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)  This action is non-final.	
closed in accordance with the pra	on for allowance except for formal mactice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr Application Papers	riction and/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction file	ed on <u>07 <i>January 2003</i></u> is: a)⊠ appr	oved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are r	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priorit</li> </ol>	y documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been received in a	Application No
	s of the priority documents have beel rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ion for a list of the certified copies no	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
<ul><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la</li><li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim</li></ul>		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 10/021,097

Art Unit: 2822

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the Applicants' amendment filed January 7, 2003.

### **Drawings**

The objection to the drawings has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsner et al. (5,436,467) in view of Hilton et al. (EP 553,982 A1) and Ghamaty et al. (6,096,965).

Elsner et al. teach a thermoelectric module comprised of: a plurality of n-legs 10; very thin alternating layers of two different alloys of boron carbide; a silicon substrate; a plurality of p-legs 12; and the p-legs and n-legs being electrically connected to produce the thermoelectric module. However, admitted Elsner et al. fail to teach alternating layers of silicon and silicon carbide; a Kapton® polyimide substrate, at least 100 very thin alternating layer thickness and the number of alternating layers. Hilton et al.

Art Unit: 2822

teaches alternating layers of silicon and silicon carbide (abstract). Ghamaty et al. teach Kapton® polyimide substrate/film (col. 5, lines 39-49), at least 100 very thin alternating layers that are each about 10 nm thick which is less than 100 nm (col. 2, lines 11-21) and a plurality of very thin alternating layers of about 3,000 layers which is in the range of at least 1250 layers (col. 2, lines 11-21). Since Elsner et al., Hilton et al. and Ghamaty et al. are from the same field of endeavor (semiconductor devices), the purpose disclosed by Ghamaty et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Elsner et al. and Hilton et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the thermoelectric module of Elsner et al. and the alternating layers of silicon and silicon carbide of Hilton et al. by incorporating the substrate, alternating layer thickness and the number of alternating layers of Ghamaty et al. to reduce the lattice thermal conductivity without adversely affecting the electric conductivity (col. 1, lines 37-41).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/021,097

Art Unit: 2822

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respects to thermoelectric modules:

Adelman (5,837,929)

Blaske et al. (4,493,939)

Venkatasubramanian (US 6,300,150 B1)

Wright et al. (5,584,183).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/021,097

Art Unit: 2822

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ims March 20, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800